

HIGH COURT OF AZAD JAMMU AND KASHMIR

Civil Revision No.160/2026;
Date of Institution 01.04.2026;
Date of Decision 23.04.2026.

Fazal Hussain	vs.	Abdul Hameed Khan and others
Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.666/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

2.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.177/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

3.	Muhammad Mushtaq	Vs.	Zafar Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.178/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

4.	Noor Ahmed	Vs.	Iqbal & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.181/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

5.	Syed Nazir Hussain Shah	Vs.	Muhammad Khalil
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.192/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

6.	Allah Ditta	Vs.	Mst. Gulzar Begum
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.202/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

7.	Muhammad Boota	Vs.	Muhammad Rasheed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.211 /2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

8.	Fazal-ur-Rehman	Vs.	Fazal Karim
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.212/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

9.	Muhammad Anwar	Vs.	Hussain Bibi and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.224/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

10.	Muhammad Shafi	Vs.	Abdul Aziz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.228/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

11.	Jan Muhammad	Vs.	Muhammad Younas and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.230/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

12.	Muhammad Suleman	Vs.	Umar Hayat & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.298/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

13.	Farah Mehmood	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.299/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

14.	Farah Mehmood	Vs.	Iqbal Mehmood
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.300/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

15.	Murawat	Vs.	Zulqarnain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.301/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

16.	Khalid Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.303/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

17.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Zulfiqar Ali
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.304/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

18.	Munawar Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Akram
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.305/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

19.	Fazal Dad	Vs.	Muhammad Yousaf
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.307/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

20.	Muhammad Rasheed	Vs.	Zia Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.309/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

21.	Javed Iqbal	Vs.	Abdul Aziz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.311/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

22.	Muhammad Razzaq	Vs.	Muhammad Bashir and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.313/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

23.	Fazal Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Anwar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.317/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

24.	Muhammad Maroof	Vs.	Muhammad Rafique
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.320/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

25.	Raja Asmat Ullah	Vs.	Anwar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.337/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

26.	Muhammad Din	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.345/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

27.	Muhammad Rafique	Vs.	Fazal Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.347/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

28.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Ilyas and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.348/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

29.	Muhammad Yousaf	Vs.	Zulfiqar Ali Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.350/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

30.	Muhammad Abbas Khan	Vs.	Ibrar Hussain & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.354/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

31.	Fazal Karim	Vs.	Ibrar Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.357/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

32.	Muhammad Hanif	Vs.	Kafayat Ali Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.358/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

33.	Muhammad Younas	Vs.	Habib-ur-Rehman
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.380/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

34.	Muhammad Anwar	Vs.	Chaudhary Mangu
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.381/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

35.	Muhammad Yaqoob	Vs.	Muhammad Nazir
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.382/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

36.	Fateh Muhammad	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Akram Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.391/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

37.	Raja Muhammad Azam	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Younas and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.393/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

38.	Phulo	Vs.	Ghulam Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.397/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

39.	Ali Muhammad	Vs.	Gulzar Begum & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.398/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

40.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Maqsood Ahmed Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.402/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

41.	Muhammad Rafi	Vs.	Shadam Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.403/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

42.	Abdul Ghafoor	Vs.	Muhammad Ilyas and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.404/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

43.	Maalik Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Afzal and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.405/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

44.	Abdul Rehman and others	Vs.	Barkat Ali & others
	Petitioners		Respondents

Civil Revision No.406/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

45.	Allah Ditta	Vs.	Chaudhary Muhammad Anwar
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.407/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

46.	Muhammad Afzal	Vs.	Zafar Iqbal & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.408/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

47.	Khadim Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Arif
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.409/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

48.	Muhammad Din	Vs.	Ghulam Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.410/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

49.	Gulzar Hussain and others	Vs.	Rehmat Khan
	Petitioners		Respondent

Civil Revision No.411/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

50.	Muhammad Khan	Vs.	Mushtaq Ahmed & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.412/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

51.	Muhammad Khan	Vs.	Raja Bashir Ahmed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.413/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

52.	Markaz Eid Milad-un-Nabi (PBUH)	Vs.	Bashir Ahmed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.414/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

53.	Gulzar	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Zulqarnain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.415/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

54.	Ghulam Hussain	Vs.	Jamrooz Khan and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.416/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

55.	Muhammad Ismail	Vs.	Ameer Alam Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.417/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

56.	Khan Malik	Vs.	Kaneez Fatima
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.418/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

57.	Haroon and another	Vs.	Hakim Ali and another
	Petitioners		Respondents

Civil Revision No.421/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

58.	Mushtaq Ahmed	Vs.	Iqbal Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.422/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

59.	Muhammad Azam	Vs.	Liaqat Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.423/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

60.	Liaqat Ali	Vs.	Tariq Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.424/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

61.	Raja Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Rafique and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.425/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

62.	Iqbal Hussain	Vs.	Mushtaq Ahmed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.426/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

63.	Javed and others	Vs.	Habib-ur-Rehman
	Petitioners		Respondent

Civil Revision No.427/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

64.	Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Muhammad Anwar & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.428/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

65.	Raja Bashir Ahmed	Vs.	Raja Sabir Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.429/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

66.	Muhammad Anwar Khan	Vs.	Ashiq Ali Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.430/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

67.	Abdul Rehman	Vs.	Zainab Bibi and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.431/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

68.	Muhammad Sadiq	Vs.	Muhammad Asghar
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.432/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

69.	Muhammad Yousaf	Vs.	Fatima Bibi Widow
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.433/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

70.	Khaliq Hussain	Vs.	Mirza Munsaf Dad
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.434/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

71.	Muhammad Arif	Vs.	Allah Ditta
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.435/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

72.	Muhammad Riaz	Vs.	Muhammad Bashir and another
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.436/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

73.	Chaudhary Noor Ahmed	Vs.	Fakhar Mehmood
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.437/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

74.	Mushtaq Ahmed	Vs.	Muhammad Riaz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.438/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

75.	Noor Begum	Vs.	Muhammad Sarwar and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.439/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

76.	Muhammad Anwar	Vs.	Sagheer Begum
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.440/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

77.	Mukhtar Ahmed	Vs.	Muhammad Sohrab Khan
	Petitioners		Respondent

Civil Revision No.441/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

78.	Lal Din	Vs.	Lal & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.442/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

79.	Rabia Bibi	Vs.	Muhammad Sharif
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.443/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

80.	Shabir Hussain	Vs.	Raja Bostan Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.445/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

81.	Muhammad Boota Khan	Vs.	Mst. Azmat Bibi Widow and another
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.446/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

82.	Bahadar Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Azam
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.447/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

83.	Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Muhammad Bashir and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.449/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

84.	Hakim Ali	Vs.	Abdul Qayyum
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.450/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

85.	Habib-ur-Rehman	Vs.	Jamroz Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.451/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

86.	Allah Ditta	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Sarwar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.452/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

87.	Abdul Khaliq	Vs.	Muhammad Akram
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.453/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

88.	Muhammad Rafique	Vs.	Muhammad Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.454/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

89.	Altaf Hussain	Vs.	Shah Muhammad & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.456/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

90.	Fazal Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Aslam
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.457/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

91.	Ghulam Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Nawaz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.459/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

92.	Liaqat Ali	Vs.	Abdul Majeed Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.460/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

93.	Muhammad Hussain and another	Vs.	Abdul Rauf Khan
	Petitioners		Respondent

Civil Revision No.461/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

94.	Faiz Ahmed	Vs.	Ghulam Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.462/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

95.	Muhammad Saddique	Vs.	Muhammad Saleem
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.463/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

96.	Fazal Elahi	Vs.	Ghulam Hussain and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.464/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

97.	Ejaib Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Saleem
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.466/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

98.	Munshi	Vs.	Shamshair Ali Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.467/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

99.	Raja Sawar Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Sarwar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.468/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

100.	Fazal Haq	Vs.	Ghulam Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.469/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

101.	Ashiq Ali Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Najeeb Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.470/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

102.	Haroon Naseeb Ullah	Vs.	Hakim Ali and another
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.471/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

103.	Ikraam Subhani	Vs.	Muhammad Sharif
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.472/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

104.	Ansar Mehmood	Vs.	Muhammad Nawaz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.473/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

105.	Abdul Aziz	Vs.	Doswandi Khan & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.474/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

106.	Muhammad Boota	Vs.	Gul Nawaz
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.475/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

107.	Bashir Ahmed	Vs.	Muhammad Akram Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.476/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

108.	Pola Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Rafique
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.477/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

109.	Muhammad Sadiq	Vs.	Abdul Rehman
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.478/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

110.	Fazal Hussain	Vs.	Muhammad Mahi
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.479/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

111.	Muhammad Shafi	Vs.	Muhammad Yousaf
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.480/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

112.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Shamim
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.481/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

113.	Muhammad Sadiq	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Shamim
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.482/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

114.	Reham Ali	Vs.	Raja Muhammad Shamim
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.483/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

115.	Muhammad Rafique	Vs.	Reham Dad Khan
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.485/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

116.	Muhammad Tufail	Vs.	Sabir Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.486/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

117.	Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Kharait Ali Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.487/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

118.	Muhammad Tufail	Vs.	Bagh Ali
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.488/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

119.	Muhammad Ilyas	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.489/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

120.	Tahir Hussain	Vs.	Manzoor Hussain and another
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.491/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

121.	Muhammad Razzaq	Vs.	Rahim Dad Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.492/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

122.	Jan Muhammad	Vs.	Gulzar Ahmed and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.493/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

123.	Raja Muhammad Azam	Vs.	Ghazanfar Ali Khan and another
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.494/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

124.	Muhammad Hashim	Vs.	Muhammad Akbar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.497/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

125.	Ahmed Din	Vs.	Khadim Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.499/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

126.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Sarwar
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.500/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

127.	Muhammad Jamil	Vs.	Muhammad Rafique
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.501/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

128.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Afzaal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.502/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

129.	Fateh Ali	Vs.	Ghulam Nabi
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.503/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

130.	Fazal Hussain	Vs.	Gulnaz Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.504/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

131.	Ghulam Nabi and others	Vs.	Ghulam Dastaghir
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.505/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

132.	Rasheeda Begum	Vs.	Ali Ahmed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.507/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

133.	Muhammad Naseem	Vs.	Habib Ullah Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.508/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

134.	Muhammad Idrees	Vs.	Khizar Hayat Khan and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.509/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

135.	Dildar Hussain	Vs.	Nazir Begum
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.510/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

136.	Abdul Gopanguf Khan	Vs.	Abdul Qayyum
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.511/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

137.	Muhammad Saddique	Vs.	Muhammad Ayoub
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.512/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

138.	Fateh Muhammad	Vs.	Muhammad Ayoub
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.513/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

139.	Jamila Khanam	Vs.	Muhammad Akram
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.514/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

140.	Muhammad Hussain	Vs.	Abdul Nazar
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.515/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

141.	Habib-ur-Rehman	Vs.	Muhammad Akram Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.516/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

142.	Muhammad Boota and another	Vs.	Khuda Buksh Khan and another
	Petitioners		Respondents

Civil Revision No.517/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

143.	Ifra Siyaab Khan	Vs.	Muhammad Sohrab Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.518/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

144.	Abdul Hameed	Vs.	Ghulam Rasool
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.519/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

145.	Nazir Ahmed	Vs.	Rasham Ali & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.520/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

146.	Sian	Vs.	Muhammad Akram Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.521/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

147.	Said Muhammad & others	Vs.	Muhammad Ali
	Petitioners		Respondent

Civil Revision No.524/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

148.	Muhammad Ibrahim	Vs.	Ashiq Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.526/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

149.	Muhammad Zaman	Vs.	Muhammad Ismail Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.527/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

150.	Muhammad Hussain	Vs.	Ashiq Hussain
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.529/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

151.	Sabir Hussain	Vs.	Badar Nazir Ahmed
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.530/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

152.	Muhammad Younas	Vs.	Mehndi Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.531/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

153.	Muhammad Mushtaq and others	Vs.	Muhammad Akram Khan and another
	Petitioners		Respondents

Civil Revision No.533/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

154.	Muhammad Rafique	Vs.	Asmat Ullah Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.536/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

155.	Muhammad Hanif	Vs.	Muhammad Sabir Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.537/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

156.	Muhammad Qayyum	Vs.	Asmat Ullah
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.539/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

157.	Muhammad Akram	Vs.	Ghulam Nabi Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.540/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

158.	Muhammad Karim	Vs.	Muhammad Razzaq
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.542/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

159.	Fazal Karim	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.544/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

160.	Muhammad Ilyas	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.545/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

161.	Muhammad Sharif	Vs.	Muhammad Iqbal
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.550/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

162.	Sian	Vs.	Muhammad Akram & others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.551/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

163.	Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Irshad Ahmed and others
	Petitioner		Respondents

Civil Revision No.552/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

164.	Nazir Ahmed	Vs.	Jalal Din
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.553/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

165.	Shabir Hussain Bukhari	Vs.	Umar Hayat
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.554/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

166.	Muhammad Akram	Vs.	Zafar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.656/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

167.	Raja Muhammad Azam	Vs.	Raja Asmatullah Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.658/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

168.	Munawar Hussain Shah	Vs.	Anayat Hussain Shah
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.659/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

169.	Muhammad Iqbal and others	Vs.	Irshad & another
	Petitioners		Respondents

Civil Revision No.660/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

170.	Alif Khan	Vs.	Chaudhary Muhammad Sharif
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.663/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

171.	Abdul Raheem	Vs.	Abdul Hamid
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.664/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

172.	Abdul Aziz	Vs.	Raja Zafar Khan
	Petitioner		Respondent

Civil Revision No.665/2026.
Date of institution 01.04.2026.

173.	Muhammad Iqbal	Vs.	Muhammad Aslam
	Petitioner		Respondent

CIVIL REVISION PETITIONS

Before:- Justice Sardar Muhammad Ejaz Khan, J.

PRESENT:

Hafiz Fazal-ur-Rehman Dar, Raja Muhammad Bashir, Abdul Razzaq Ch, Mirza Mazhar Iqbal, Ch. Rashid Rasheed, Sardar Wajid Pervaiz, Ch. Khurram Saif Ali, Mirza Mazhar Iqbal, Kamran Taj, Advocates for the petitioners in Revision Petitions No.422, 428, 432, 446, 454, 460, 461, 479, 665.
Petitioner in person in Revision Petition No.463,
Hafiz Fazal-ur-Rehman Dar, Raja Muhammad Sabir, Asghar Hussain, Ch. Rashid Rashid, Arshad Mehmood Malick, Advocates for the respondents in revision petitions No. 423, 428, 430, 446, 430, 467, 504, 531.
Respondent present through his real son in revision petition No.512.
Muhammad Khalil Ghazi A.A.G.

JUDGMENT:

The captioned revision petitions have been directed against the judgment and decrees dated 31.07.1996, 19.03.2004, 23.04.2004,

31.05.2004, 16.06.1996, 25.02.1997, 13.03.1997,
08.04.1997, 21.10.1996, 24.11.1996, 26.11.1998,
25.05.2000, 25.05.2000, 27.05.2000, 08.05.2000,
26.05.2000, 26.05.2000, 25.05.2000, 13.05.2000,
22.04.2000, 07.04.2000, 12.03.1997, 08.10.1996,
26.07.1995, 13.10.1996, 26.10.1995, 30.11.1999,
22.09.1998, 17.04.2001, 31.07.1999, 10.04.1999,
07.04.1999, 23.04.1999, 19.10.1996, 12.08.1996,
07.08.1996, 07.04.1999, 16.04.1998, 19.10.1998,
25.05.1998, 10.02.2001, 31.12.1999, 18.10.1999,
30.07.1999, 22.12.1999, 06.12.1999, 27.12.1999,
22.01.2001, 31.01.2001, 29.01.2001, 29.01.2001,
20.01.2001, 02.07.2001, 30.01.2001, 29.04.2000,
31.10.2000, 12.10.2000, 20.10.2000, 12.01.2017,
12.01.2017, 12.12.2000, 16.12.2000, 31.08.2000,
05.06.2000, 30.06.2000, 30.06.2000, 30.06.2000,
29.05.2000, 26.05.2000, 25.05.2000, 31.05.2000,
15.03.2000, 11.05.2000, 29.03.2000, 28.03.2000,
29.03.2000, 31.03.2000, 24.03.2001, 31.03.2001,
10.04.2001, 11.06.2001, 31.01.2000, 20.10.2001,
20.06.2001, 31.05.2001, 29.05.2001, 02.06.2001,

07.09.2001, 13.04.2001, 19.04.2001, 19.04.2001,
22.03.2001, 10.02.2001, 12.02.2001, 31.07.1999,
29.01.2001, 10.02.1993, 10.01.1993, 27.02.1993,
26.03.2001, 27.11.2000, 30.11.2000, 20.04.2002,
10.04.2002, 09.05.2002, 14.11.2000, 12.12.2000,
29.05.1995, 30.10.1989, 10.10.1989, 30.01.1993,
03.01.1993, 03.01.1993, 03.01.1993, 04.11.1998,
23.11.1998, 10.10.1998, 22.09.1998, 22.09.1998,
27.08.1998, 04.06.1998, 20.06.1998, 27.04.1998,
27.03.1998, 22.01.2002, 19.03.1998, 31.08.2000,
02.02.1997, 03.02.1997, 29.05.2000, 04.01.1993,
22.05.1995, 26.05.2003, 16.01.2002, 10.01.2002,
07.01.2002, 25.01.2002, 25.01.2002, 16.01.2002,
25.07.1987, 25.07.1987, 07.07.1987, 27.07.1987,
12.04.1983, 10.03.1979, 29.03.1979, 10.04.1979,
27.08.1998, 07.01.1998, 22.01.1998, 30.05.1998,
08.05.1998, 16.05.1998, 06.06.1998, 31.08.1998,
29.10.1998, 04.02.1998, 04.02.1998, 31.08.1998,
22.09.1998, 31.08.1998, 29.03.1979, 25.05.2000,
01.04.1997, 15.04.1997, 08.04.1997, 20.09.1995,
15.10.1995, 20.09.1995, 15.10.1995, 04.07.1995,

08.04.1997 and 10.01.2001 passed by the lower fora.

2. As common questions of facts and law are involved in the cases in hand, hence, the same were consolidated and are being decided through this single judgment.

3. Heard. Written arguments filed on behalf of the parties were perused.

4. At the very outset, it appears from the record that the plaintiffs-petitioners filed suits for declaration of ownership on the basis of agreement-to-sell/oral-sale/gift against defendants-respondents before the trial Courts and after proceedings, the aforesaid suits were decreed on the basis compromise through the aforesaid judgments & decrees, which is the subject-matter of these petitions.

5. On revisions, the parties were summoned. However, in the instant cases, some of the parties have died, some are abroad, and some have transferred the land to other persons.

To meet this situation, this Court summoned the parties as well as their legal heirs through ordinary course of service and due to defective service, they were summoned through substituted service.

6. As this Court in case titled *Muhammad Azeem vs. Muhammad Hanif etc.* decided on 09.12.2025 directed the Courts below to transmit the cases wherein the declaratory decrees pertaining to *Shamilat-deh* land were passed, which cases transmitted in compliance with judgment pertain to District Mirpur and District Bhimber. To attend this this legal proposition relating to *Shamilat-deh* land, it would be better to reproduce Section 5 of AJ&K Grant of Khalsa Waste Land as *Shamilat-Deh* Act, 1966, which reads as under:-

“5. Grant of Shamilat-deh rights:- (1) *Shamilat rights hereby granted out of State-owned lands, other than demarcated forests, shall be recorded up to the extent of 100 per cent of cultivated area in a village in 1982 (bikrami), subject to availability of such*

land in that village. Only one mutation of Shamilat-deh shall be attested in any one village under this sub-section and such mutation may be sanctioned by the Settlement officer or Assistant Settlement officer.

Provided that mutation of an area in excess of 800 Kanals shall be sanctioned by the Settlement Commissioner or an officer specially authorized by him.

- (2) The areas already used for grazing, burial and drinking water purposes and other existing Shamilat-deh areas shall be deducted from the total entitlement but included in the general mutation of Shamilat-deh referred to in sub-section (1).*
- (3) [Twenty per cent of the total Shamilat areas shall be reserved for common purposes of villagers and five per cent shall be kept destitute or regularize the nautors, if any, made by them. Otherwise, it shall remain under the control and management of Revenue Department, if there is no destitute or till it is properly made over to the destitute. The rest 75 per cent of Shamilat area may be used by the land owners for plantation [cultivation or any other purpose] within the limit of their respective shares to be determined by the Revenue Officer of the rank not below than a Tehsildar];*
- (4) In determining the extent of the right of the land owner to Shamilat land, for the purpose of sub-section (3) the area owned or cultivated by*

him or his predecessor-in-interest in the year 1982 (Bikarmi) shall be the basis. The area in respect of which occupancy rights are held by any person according to the revenue record in that year should be deducted when working out the entitlement of any individual owner. Benefit of such occupancy areas should go to future land-owners.

- (5) The share of any individual land owner in Shamilat deh area shall be in proportion to his cultivated owned land in the year 1982 (Bikrami) subject to the condition that the total holding of a land owner in a village including his own land and that given to him under this Act shall not exceed twenty kanlas in the case of a local destitute or four hundred Kanls in the case of others.*
- (6) Share in Shamilat on evacuee land shall got to its allottees, but where evacuee land is in the illegal possession of any person its share in Shamilat may be utilized by the concerned Union Council till the same is properly allotted to any person.*
- (7) All nautors the mutation of which was sanctioned before the year 1982 (Bikarmi) shall be included in the basic area for the purposes of calculating Shamilat rights under sub-section(1).*
- (8)*
- (9)*
- (10) The provisions of this Act in respect of Shamilat rights shall not apply*

to estates mutations whereof have already been attested under Ailan No.17 and Ailan No.2.

(11)

(12)

(13) Any person who contravenes any direction or ejectment order issued in pursuance of sub-section (5) of section 4, or sub-section (9) or (12) of this section shall be liable to fine up [Rs.5000/-] to be imposed by the Collector.

*(15) The grant of proprietary rights shall be sanctioned by the Collector on a certificate issued by the Divisional Forest Officer of the area that the conditions prescribed in clauses (a), (b) and (c) of sub-section (14) have been satisfied and the land shall be assessed to land revenue if not already assessed. The person thus acquiring proprietary rights shall be recorded as **“Malik Hissadar”** under Shamilat-deh instead of tenant-at-will through mutation attested by any Settlement officer or Revenue officer in accordance with the sanction aforesaid.”*

7. Under the relevant provisions of law, as the *Shamilat-deh* rights granted out of State-owned lands, other than demarcated forests, shall be recorded up to the extent of 100 per cent of cultivated area in a village in 1982 (*Bikrami*), subject to availability of such land in that village.

Only one mutation of *Shamilat-deh* shall be attested in any one village under this sub-section and such mutation may be sanctioned by the Settlement Officer or Assistant Settlement Officer while mutation of an area in excess of 800 Kanals shall be sanctioned by the Settlement Commissioner or an officer specially authorized by him. The area already used for grazing, burial and drinking water purposes and other existing *Shamilat-deh* areas shall be deducted from the total entitlement but included in the general mutation of *Shamilat-deh* referred to in sub-section (1) twenty per cent of the total *Shamilat* areas shall be reserved for common purposes of villagers and five per cent shall be kept for destitute or regularize the nautors, if any, made by them. Otherwise, it shall remain under the control and management of Revenue Department, if there is no destitute or till it is properly made over to the destitute. The rest of 75 per cent of *Shamilat* area may be used by the land owners for

plantation [cultivation or any other purpose] within the limit of their respective shares to be determined by the Revenue Officer of the rank not below than a Tehsildar. It is now settled that regarding the *Shamilat-deh* land no declaratory decree or a decree for perpetual injunction in favour of the some landowners of the village on the basis cognovits/compromise can be passed by a Civil Court. The decree so passed would be a nullity in the eye of law and all the transactions on the basis of such decrees would fall on grounds because when the foundation is illegal, how the superstructure built over it can be declared as legal. The Superior Courts have time and again held that the decree regarding the *Shamilat Deh* land on the basis of agreement-to-sell of a private person or on cognovit cannot be granted. Reliance can be placed on a case reported as *Ch. Maqbool Ahmed & 17 others vs. Ch. Muhammad Iqbal* [2017 SCR 1653]. Similar proposition has been resolved by the apex Court

in case reported as *Muhammad Rasheed & 5 others* [2016 SCR 505] in which it has been observed that:-

“It is well settled principle of law that the declaration cannot be claimed on the basis of possession over the Shamilat Deh land until and unless the same partitioned and specific certificate by the Collector is granted. Moreover, it is also now settled that no decree of ownership regarding the Shamilat Deh land on the basis of any agreement by a private person or cognovits can be granted.”

It has further been held in para 6 of the aforesaid judgment that:-

“It may be stated that there is no second opinion in this regard that if the legal heirs of deceased, plaintiff, are not arrayed as party, the suit to his extent will automatically be abated and this fact even has not been denied by the learned counsel for the respondents. However, as we have held that the suits without partition of the Shamilat Deh land, in the present form, were not competent, therefore, any findings regarding the abetment of the suit will be immaterial and mere of academic discussion.”

8. Another important aspect involves in the cases in hand that whether any landowner can

transfer the *Shamilat-deh* land alone? When a landowner transfers his owned land then he can transfer rights of his *Shamilat-deh* land, which are attached to his own land whereas in the above-mentioned cases, *Shamilat-deh* land has been transferred through the impugned decrees independently rather under law, the landowner can transfer the *Shamilat-deh* land as per his share adjacent to owned land, which cannot be transferred independently, hence, it can safely be concluded that declaratory decrees passed in favour of the plaintiffs-petitioners are nullity in eye of law.

9. It is relevant to mention here that this Court, in compliance with the judgment of the apex Court dated 03.07.2025, heard the cases while exercising powers under Section 115 (d) of Civil Procedure Code and has already given its verdict on the similar proposition as involved in the case in hand vide judgment dated 09.12.2025

wherein the relevant paragraphs are hereby reproduced as under:-

“17. It is relevant to mention here that Board of Revenue, time and again, issued Standing orders regarding Shamilat-deh land and some of the Standing Orders having no conformity with the relevant provisions of Shamilat-deh Act, are not enforceable under law while any Standing Order issued by Board of Revenue offends the relevant provisions of The AJ&K Grant of Khalsa Waste Land as Shamilat-Deh Act, 1966, which has got no legal value. So when the revenue authorities pass the entitlement certificates in favour of any individual, the same directly offends Section 5 of the Act, supra, and the rights of the public-at-large, hence, it is incumbent upon the revenue authorities to protect 20% common land (playground, graveyard, and green area) and maintain the revenue record in the light of relevant provisions of law.

18. In the light of above discussion, it is a pure question of law that whether the learned trial Court had got jurisdiction to grant a declaratory decrees in respect of undivided Shamilat-deh land and whether the trial Court was competent to pass decrees of ownership on the basis of agreement-to-sell and relief claimed in all suits was allowable under law, the answer wholly given in pre-paras, in view of relevant law and dictum laid down by the Apex Court, is in negative. All the suits are barred by law, therefore, complaints are liable to be rejected. It is well settled

principle of law that an incompetent suit should be laid at rest at earliest moment so that no further time is wasted.

20. The nutshell of the foregoing reasons is that the captioned revision petitions are accepted and the impugned compromise judgments & decrees passed by the learned trial Court are hereby set-aside and further transactions and entries in revenue record made on the basis of the aforesaid decrees would be deemed to have been cancelled. Consequently, the complaints are hereby rejected. The Revenue Department is hereby directed to implement the judgment in letter & spirit and maintain the revenue record pertaining to Shamilat-deh land in accordance with law. The office is directed to transmit an attested copy of this judgment to concerned quarters for compliance and the same shall be annexed along-with the other relevant files.”

10. The afore-referred judgment was challenged before the Hon’ble Supreme Court by filing civil PLAs No.34, 39, 40, 41 & 42 of 2026 through which the judgment of this Court was upheld vide order dated 09.03.2026 in which relevant paragraphs are usefully reproduced as under:-

“9. In this context the provisions of the AJ&K Grant of Khalsa Waste Land as Shamilat-Deh Act, 1966 assumes decisive significance. The statute regulates the grant, distribution, and management of Shamilat-deh land, which originates from State-owned land and is intended to serve communal purposes. The statutory scheme envisages that such land shall be recorded through a single mutation at the village level and administered by the competent revenue authorities. Portions of such land are reserved for communal purposes of the village and for the benefit of destitutes, while the remaining area may be utilized by landowners only within the limits of their respective shares as determined by the revenue authorities. The legislative framework thus places the control and determination of rights in Shamilat-Deh land squarely within the domain of the revenue administration.

10. It is equally well settled through consistent pronouncements of the superior Courts that rights in Shamilat-deh land cannot be created, declared, or altered through private agreements compromises, or consent decrees obtained from Civil Courts. Any decree purporting to confer exclusive rights in such communal property is inherently void and legally unenforceable. Consequently, any subsequent transactions founded upon such decrees cannot acquire legal validity, when the foundation is void, the superstructure erected thereon must necessarily collapse.

11. The record further reveals that the land in the question is admittedly Shamilat-deh, whereas declaratory suits were instituted on the basis of agreements to sell. The learned trial Court decreed the suits on cognovits, thereby purporting to recognize proprietary rights in undivided communal land. Such an exercise was plainly beyond the jurisdiction of the Civil Court. The settled maxim *sub-lato fundamento cadit opus*, once the foundation is removed, the structure built upon it falls, aptly governs the present case. Until partition by metes and bounds is lawfully effected, no individual can claim a specific and exclusive share in Shamilat-deh land. The decrees passed by the trial Court were therefore void *ab initio*.

12. Equally devoid of merit is the contention that the learned High Court transgressed the limits of its revisional jurisdiction. Where a subordinate Court assumes jurisdiction not vested in it by law or exercises such jurisdiction with material irregularity, interference in revision is not merely permissible but warranted. The Learned High Court, therefore, acted well within the confines of its lawful authority.

13. The argument based on Section 41 of the Transfer of Property Act 1882 cannot be accepted. The protection under Section 41 is conditional and arises only where the transferee demonstrates that the transfer was made by an ostensible owner with the consent, express or implied, of the real owner, and that the transferee, acting in good faith, exercised reasonable care to

ascertain the authority of the transferor. In the present case, the record does not show the respondents undertook such diligence. The circumstances were such as ought to have prompted a prudent inquiry regarding the title of the vendor. It is a settled principle that caveat emptor, let the purchaser beware, applies, and no one can convey a better title than he possesses. In the absence of proof of good faith and reasonable inquiry, the respondents cannot invoke Section 41 for Protection.

14. Having examined the record, it is evident that the learned High Court correctly concluded that the Civil Court lacked jurisdiction to entertain the suits concerning undivided Shamilat-deh land. The decrees passed by the trial Court on compromise statements/ cognovits are void ab-initio, and any right purportedly flowing from them cannot be sustained. The statutory scheme governing communal land is clear, and the Learned High Court properly set-aside the decrees and directed the Revenue Department to maintain the record in accordance with law.”

11. After deep perusal of the above-quoted order of the Hon’ble Supreme Court, it is crystal clear that the decree passed in favour of any individual pertaining to *Shamilat-deh* land is without jurisdiction, hence, the entire transactions with regard to *Shamilat-deh* land are

nullity in the eye of law. Under Article 42-B of the Azad Jammu & Kashmir Interim Constitution, 1974 any decision of the Hon'ble Supreme to the extent that it decides a question of law or is based upon or enunciates a principle of law be binding on all other Courts in Azad Jammu & Kashmir, hence, the revenue authorities are legally bound to maintain the revenue record as provided under law. Apparently, in some cases the trial Courts have already granted declaratory decrees on the basis of compromise pertaining to *Shamilat-deh* land, which are under challenge in the titled cases and the transfer of *Shamilat-deh* land through decree, agreement-to-sell etc. would be deemed as non-existent. Any other mode adopted for transfer of *Shamilat-deh land* is also nullity in the eye of law. However, this judgment shall not take effect to the extent of the transfer of owned land.

12. Be that as it may, the instant revision petitions are accepted and the impugned compromise judgments & decrees granted in

favour of the petitioners pertaining to *Shamilat-deh* land are hereby *set-aside* and in furtherance thereof, transactions and entries in revenue record made on the basis of the aforesaid decrees would be deemed to have been cancelled. Consequently, the complaints are hereby rejected. The Revenue Department is hereby directed to implement the judgment in letter & spirit and maintain the revenue record with regard to *Shamilat-deh* land in accordance with law. The office is directed to transmit copies of order of the Hon'ble Supreme Court dated 09.03.2026, judgment of this Court passed in earlier round of litigation on 09.12.2025 and the instant judgment to Senior Member Board of Revenue and Commissioner Mirpur Division, Mirpur, for compliance. A copy of this judgment shall also be annexed along-with the other relevant files accordingly.

Circuit Mirpur:
23.04.2026(J.ZEB)

JUDGE